### **Arizona Supreme Court**

#### **Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal**

## CR-20-0147-PR

# STATE OF ARIZONA v KEYAIRA PORTER

Appellate Case Information	Dept/Composition	
Case Filed: 11-May-2020	En Banc	
Case Closed:	Hon. Robert Brutinel Hon. Ann A. Scott Timmer Hon. Clint Bolick Hon. Andrew W. Gould Hon. John R. Lopez Hon. James P. Beene	

### Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

Attorneys for: Appellee State of Arizona

> Mark Brnovich, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 14134) Michael O'Toole, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19555)

Side 2. KEYAIRA PORTER, Appellant

(Litigant Group) KEYAIRA PORTER

Attorneys for: Appellant Keyaira Porter

> Mikel Steinfeld, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24996) James J Haas, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 12162)

CASE STATUS

Nov 3, 2020.....At Issue Nov 3, 2020.....Oral Argument Granted

May 11, 2020....Pending

PREDECI	ESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CR 18-0301					
♥ MAR	CR2017-137407-001	Resisting Arrest, Class 6	Supervised Probation - 18 Months	Monica S Garfinkel, Sentencing Comments: (none)	JURY	

₩	MAR	CR2017-137407-001	Resisting Arrest, Class 6	Supervised Probation - 18 Months	Monica S Garfinkel, Sentencing Comments: (none)	JURY	
	5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES						

1.	11-May-2020	FILED: State of Arizona's Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Opinion (Appellee State)

11-May-2020 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record

10-Jun-2020 FILED: Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Appellant Porter)

23-Oct-2020 ORDERED: State of Arizona's Petition for Review = CONTINUED.

Justice Montgomery did not participate in the determination of this matter.

3-Nov-2020 ORDERED: State of Arizona's Petition for Review = GRANTED as to this issue as rephrased:

> "Did the court of appeals err by holding that in ruling on a challenge under Batson v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 79 (1986), and when confronted with a pattern of strikes against minority jurors, the trial court must determine expressly whether the racially disproportionate impact of the pattern is justified by non-pretextual, race-neutral reasons?"

FURTHER ORDERED: The case shall be set for oral argument.

FURTHER ORDERED: The parties may file simultaneous supplemental briefs, not to exceed 20 pages in length, no later than 20 days from the date of the Court's Minute Letter. Any amicus briefs are due on or before December 9, 2020, and any responses to amicus briefs are due on or before December 24, 2020. Any amicus briefs or responses may not exceed 20 pages in length.

Justice Montgomery did not participate in the determination of this matter.